Remarks

I. Introduction

Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, 23, 26, 28, 30 – 32, 34, 35, 38 – 41 and 43 - 54 are pending.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 13, 14, 16, 24, 25, 27, 36, 37 and 55 – 57 are cancelled.

Claims 7, 11, 18, 22, 29, 33 and 42 are withdrawn.

Claims 1, 4, 12, 15, 23, 26 and 35 are independent.

Claims 1, 4, 12, 15, 23, 26 and 35 are currently amended.

Applicant hereby respectfully requests reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims in light of the amendments and remarks provided herein and in accordance with 37 C.F.R.

§1.112.

II. The Office Action's Rejections

A. Section 112 Rejections

Claims 1, 4, 12, 15, 23, 26 and 35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claims 1, 4, 12, 15, 23, 26 and 35 have been amended to clarify that the linear outcome is displayed in response to the non-linear outcome being determined for display.

B. Section 103 Rejections

Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32, 35, 38 – 41 and 43, 45-47, 51 and 52 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,695,696 to Kaminkow et al. ("Kaminkow" herein) in view of U.S. Publication No. 2003/0001338 to Bennett et al. ("Bennett" herein). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of the other claims for the reasons set forth below.

Claims 1, 4, 12, 15, 23, 26 and 35 have been amended to clarify that the linear outcome is displayed in response to the non-linear outcome being determined for display. <u>Kaminkow</u> and <u>Bennett</u> do not disclose these features for at least the reasons set forth in Applicants' prior responses. Accordingly, the rejection should be withdrawn and the claims allowed.

Claims 48-50 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Kaminkow</u> in view of <u>Bennett</u> and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,811,484 to Katz ("<u>Katz</u>" hereinafter). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As <u>Katz</u> fails to cure the deficiencies of the <u>Kaminkow-Bennett</u> combination, argued above, Applicants respectfully submit that each of these claims are patentable for the same reasons argued above with respect to the rejection of claims 1, 4, 12, 15, 23, 26 and 35 over <u>Kaminkow</u> in view of <u>Bennett</u>.

Claims 6, 20 and 31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Kaminkow in view of Bennett and further in view of U.S. Publication 2003/0060268 to Falconer ("Falconer" herein). As Falconer fails to cure the deficiencies of the Kaminkow-Bennett combination, argued above, Applicants respectfully submit that each of these claims are patentable for the same reasons argued above with respect to the rejection of claims 1, 4, 12, 15, 23, 26 and 35 over Kaminkow in view of Bennett.

Claims 34, 44, 53 and 54 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Kaminkow in view of Bennett and further in view of U.S. Publication 2003/0186736 to Benbrahim ("Benbrahim" herein). As Benbrahim fails to cure the deficiencies the Kaminkow-Bennett combination, argued above, Applicants respectfully submit that each of these claims are patentable for the same reasons argued above with respect to the rejection of claims 1, 4, 12, 15, 23, 26 and 35 over Kaminkow in view of Bennett.

PATENT

Application Serial No. 10/791,029 Attorney Docket No.03-013

III. Authorization to Charge Fees

Applicant believe a 3 month extension of time to make this Amendment and Response timely is necessary. Accordingly, please grant a petition of 3 month extension of time necessary to make this submission timely. Additionally, please charge the necessary fee for this extension of time, as well as any other fees that may be required for this submission, as follows:

Deposit Account: 50-0271

Order No. 03-013

Charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to the same account.

IV. Conclusion

At least for the foregoing reasons, it is submitted that all claims are now in condition for allowance, or in better form for appeal, and the Examiner's early re-examination and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

Alternatively, if there remain any questions regarding the present application or the cited reference, or if the Examiner has any further suggestions for expediting allowance of the present application, the Examiner is cordially requested to contact Applicants' representative.

Respectfully submitted,

September 8, 2009 Date /Jerome DeLuca, Reg. No 55,106/ Jerome DeLuca Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 55,106 (203) 461-7319/voice (203) 461-7000/fax